



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,092	01/08/2001	Michael J. Cima	10436-0009-999	5650

20583 7590 12/12/2002
PENNIE AND EDMONDS
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 100362711

EXAMINER	
BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1639

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/756,092

Applicant(s)
Cima et al

Examiner
Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

Art Unit
1639



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Anthony Insogna

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 9, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed applicant that the references associated with the Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) filed June 20, 2002 have now been located. This is in reference to paragraph 10 of the previous Office Action.

The PTO-1449 has been considered and a signed copy is attached to this Interview Summary form.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MAURIE G. BAKER, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1639

Examiner's signature, if required